## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

## CASE NO. 19-20284-CR-SMITH

## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

JOSE MELQUIADES CISNEROS ALARCON,

Defendant.

## PRELIMINARY ORDER OF FORFEITURE

THIS CAUSE is before the Court upon motion of the United States of America (the "United States") for entry of a Preliminary Order of Forfeiture ("Motion") against Defendant (the "Defendant"). The Court has considered the Motion, is otherwise advised in the premises, and finds as follows:

On May 9, 2019, a federal grand jury returned an Indictment charging the Defendant in Count 2 with conspiracy to commit money laundering in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(h). The Indictment also contained forfeiture allegations, which alleged that upon conviction of a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956, the Defendant shall forfeit "any property, real or personal, that is involved in such offense, or any property traceable to such property pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 982(a)(1).

On August 19, 2019, Defendant entered a guilty plea to Count 2 of the Indictment and acknowledged the facts in the Factual Proffer. Plea Agreement, ECF No. 45 Factual Proffer in Support of Guilty Plea, ECF No. 46. The Court accepted Defendant's guilty plea. As part of Defendant's Plea Agreement, Defendant agreed to the entry of a forfeiture money judgment in the amount of "\$4,400,000 in United States currency, which sum represents the value of the property

subject to forfeiture." Plea Agreement, ECF No. 45, p. 6, ¶9.

Accordingly, based on the foregoing, the evidence in the record, and for good cause shown, the Motion is **GRANTED**, and it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

1. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 982(a)(1), 21 U.S.C. § 853, and Rule 32.2 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, a forfeiture money judgment in the amount of \$4,400,000 is hereby entered against the Defendant, Jose Melquiades Cisneros Alarcon.

2. Any duly authorized law enforcement agency may seize and take possession of the forfeited property according to law.

3. The United States is authorized to conduct any discovery necessary in identifying, locating, or disposing of forfeited property, and to resolve any third-party petition, pursuant to Rule 32.2(b)(3), (c)(1)(B) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and 21 U.S.C. § 853(m).

4. Pursuant to Rule 32.2(b)(4) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, this Order is final as to the Defendant.

5. The Court shall retain jurisdiction in this matter for the purpose of enforcing this Order, and pursuant to Rule 32.2(e)(1) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, shall amend this Order, or enter other orders as necessary, to forfeit additional specific property when identified.

**DONE AND ORDERED** in Miami Florida, this <u>20th</u> day of February 2020.

RODNEY SMITH UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE